

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking)	
18 FCC Rcd 13187, 13188 ¶1 (2003))	ET Docket No. 03-137
)	
And)	
)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services)	WT Docket No. 12-357
H Block---Implementing Section 6401 of the)	
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands ¶53 footnote 95)	

To: Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: Mrs. Dianne Wilkins
9 Lakeside Drive
Falmouth, ME 04105
dnwilkins@aol.com

February 3, 2013

AFFIDAVIT OF Mrs. Dianne Wilkins

State of Maine

Cumberland, County

I, Dianne Wilkins, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

Comment round for ET Docket No. 03-137 and WT Docket No. 12-357.

1. My name is Dianne Wilkins My address is 9 Lakeside Drive Falmouth, ME 04105.
2. I am a married, a paralegal, and a mother.
3. I have a college education and have extensively researched the data bases of scientific studies regarding adverse biological effects of exposure to non-thermal EMF radiation.
4. I would like the FCC to update their current 1996 safety guidelines by having the federal health agency, the US EPA, review all of the current and past scientific studies of EMF radiation exposure in an open, public process, allowing public input and independent review.
5. The EPA selected reviewers should conduct the actual review of evidence and make the final decision of the health effects of EMF radiation on the public with mandatory compliance with their final recommendations by the FCC.
6. The FCC should require the reviewing EPA to implement a stringent, comprehensive conflict of interest policy requiring all participating reviewers to publicly disclose all possible conflict of interests, past and present, as a requirement for participation. In the case of applicant with conflicts of interests, those applicants should not be selected.
7. The FCC should require the reviewing EPA to implement a public and transparent selection process of the individual reviewers, requiring the selection be contingent upon

extensive past experience with the study of biological effects of EMF and the appropriate educational qualifications for this field of study.

8. The resulting updated guidelines should include protection from non-thermal exposures to EMF radiation, and if the body of evidence is still too small/incomplete to produce a guideline, the Precautionary Principle, as is advocated by the European Union's European Environmental Agency (EEA) in their 2009 report by David Gee, *Late Lesson from Early Warnings: Towards realism and precaution with EMF* published in Pathophysiology and the more recent EEA report No. 1/2013, *Late Lessons from Early Warnings: Part E.27; More or less Precaution?*, should be required and incorporated into the new guidelines for all non-thermal exposures.

9. The updated FCC guidelines should include short-term and long-term protection from non thermal EMF RF exposures with specific and lower exposure limits to protect pregnant women, children, the elderly, and other sensitive populations.

10. I have read hundreds of studies showing DNA, eye, sperm, neurological, fetal, chromosomal, fertility, and brain damage caused by non-thermal exposure to EMF and RF radiation. I believe that there will be serious, long term harm to the health of the US population with increased incidence of all diseases being passed down to the next generations, unless immediate action is taken to halt the proliferation and indiscriminate use of RF radiation emitting devices.

Respectfully submitted by

Name: Dianne Wilkins

Address: 9 Lakeside Drive

Falmouth, Maine 04105

February 3, 2013